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Abstract

The c-linear complexity $L_c(A)$ of a complex matrix $A$ is the minimal number of additions, subtractions and multiplications by complex constants of absolute value $\leq c$, $c \geq 2$, needed to evaluate $A$ at a generic input vector. Define $L_\infty(A) := \lim_{c \to \infty} L_c(A)$. We show that if $A$ is a Fourier transform on the finite group $G$, then $|L_\infty(A) - L_\infty(A^{-1})| \leq |G|$. The c-linear complexity of a finite group $G$ is defined by $L_c(G) := \min \{ L_c(A) \mid A \text{ a Fourier transform for } G \}$. We prove that $L_2(G) > \frac{1}{2}|G|\log |G|$ for any finite group $G$, and present two infinite classes of non-abelian groups $G$ with $L_2(G) \leq 0.6|G|\log |G|$ and $L_2(G) \leq 0.8|G|\log |G|$, respectively. Thus there are non-abelian groups with even faster Fourier transforms than elementary abelian 2-groups (for which $L_2(G) \leq |G|\log |G|$).
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1 Introduction

The design and analysis of efficient algorithms for Fourier transforms on finite groups has been the subject of several recent investigations, see the references. The present paper continues the studies in [6]. Although we assume familiarity with [6] and its notations, we briefly recall the mathematical background.

By Wedderburn's theorem, the group algebra \( CG \) of a finite group \( G \) is isomorphic to an algebra of block diagonal matrices: \( CG \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\hat{A}} C_{d_i \times d_i} \), where the blocks correspond to the equivalence classes of irreducible representations of \( CG \). Every algebra isomorphism \( A : CG \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\hat{A}} C_{d_i \times d_i} \) is called a (generalized) Fourier transform for \( CG \). With respect to natural bases, \( A \) can be viewed as a \( |G| \)-square complex matrix. (E.g., if \( G = C_n \) is the cyclic group of order \( n \) then \( A = (\omega^{ab})_{0 \leq a, b < n} \) with \( \omega = \exp(2\pi i/n) \).)

The linear complexity \( L_\ast(A) \) of a matrix \( A \in C^{r \times t} \) is the minimal number of \( C \)-operations (= additions/subtractions/scalar multiplications) sufficient to compute \( Ax \) from a (generic) input vector \( x \in C^r \). Since a non-abelian group \( G \) has infinitely many Fourier transforms, we define the \textit{linear complexity} of \( G \) by \( L_\ast(G) := \min L_\ast(A) \), where the minimum is taken over all possible Fourier transforms \( A \) for \( CG \). Combining [2] and [6], we get

\[ L_\ast(G) \leq 8|G| \log |G| \]

for all finite metabelian groups \( G \), i.e. groups \( G \) whose commutator subgroup \( G' \) is abelian. (Throughout this paper, \( \log = \log_2 \).) If \( G \) is an abelian 2-group, the classical FFT-algorithms show that

\[ L_\ast(G) \leq \frac{3}{2} |G| \log |G| \, . \]

For the class of elementary abelian 2-groups, i.e. \( G \simeq C_2 \times \cdots \times C_2 \), the fast Hadamard-Walsh transforms prove the even better bound

\[ L_\ast(G) \leq |G| \log |G| \, . \]

All the algorithms proving the above three upper bounds use (besides additions and subtractions) only multiplications with complex constants of absolute value \( \leq 2 \). This motivates, more generally, to study, for fixed real \( c \geq 2 \), the \( c \)-linear complexity

\[ L_c(G) := \min \{ L_\ast(A) \mid A \text{ a Fourier transform for } G \} \]

of the finite group \( G \), where \( L_c(A) \) is the minimal number of \( C \)-operations needed to evaluate the complex matrix \( A \) at a generic input vector when scalar multiplications are restricted to complex constants of absolute value \( \leq c \).
According to the above remark, \( L_2(G) \leq 8|G| \log |G| \) for all finite metabelian groups.

In order to get a lower bound for the 2-linear complexity of a finite non-abelian group \( G \), we are faced with the problem of estimating \( L_2(A) \) for infinitely many Fourier transforms \( A \) on \( G \). Nevertheless, combining a result of Morgenstern and the Schur relations we can prove in section 3 that

\[
L_2(G) \geq \frac{1}{4}(1 + \frac{1}{|G^*|})|G| \log |G| > \frac{1}{4}|G| \log |G|
\]

for any finite group \( G \).

How tight is this general lower bound? Up to now, the elementary abelian 2-groups satisfying \( L_2(G) \leq |G| \log |G| \) seemed to have the fastest Fourier transforms. But in fact, this is not true: In sections 4 and 5 we present two infinite classes of non-abelian groups \( G \) with \( L_2(G) < 0.6|G| \log |G| \) and \( L_2(G) < 0.8|G| \log |G| \), respectively.

For many applications, e.g. fast convolution and digital filtering, the inverse of a Fourier transform is equally important. In section 2, we show that the linear complexities of a Fourier transform \( A \) and its inverse differ at most by the order of the group.

2 Schur relations and linear complexity

In this section we will use the classical Schur relations to prove a close connection between a Fourier transform and its inverse, which leads to some new lower and upper complexity bounds. To begin with, we recall the

Schur Relations. [13, V, Satz 5.7] Let \( D_1, \ldots, D_h \) be a full set of inequivalent irreducible matrix representations of \( CG \) of degrees \( d_1, \ldots, d_h \), respectively. Then for all \( 1 \leq a, b \leq h \) and \( 1 \leq i, j \leq d_a \) and \( 1 \leq k, l \leq d_b \), the following holds:

\[
\sum_{g \in G} D_a(g)_{ij} \cdot D_b(g^{-1})_{kl} = \delta_{ab} \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} \frac{|G|}{d_a}.
\]

Note that the right hand sides of the Schur relations only depend on the equivalence classes of the irreducible representations of \( CG \).

If \( A \) is a Fourier transform matrix for the finite group \( G \), then the inverse of \( A \) is very similar to the transpose \( A^T \). More precisely, we have the following

Theorem 1 If \( A \) is a Fourier transform matrix for the finite group \( G \), \( CG \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{h} C^{d_i \times d_i} \), then there exist permutation matrices \( P \) and \( Q \) such that

\[
A \cdot P \cdot A^T \cdot Q = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{h} \frac{|G|}{d_i} \cdot E_{d_i^2},
\]
where \( E_d \) denotes the \( d \)-square unit matrix.

**Proof.** Let \( G \) be a finite group of order \( n \) and \( D_1, \ldots, D_h \) a full set of inequivalent irreducible representations of \( CG, d_i := \text{degree}(D_i) \). If \( A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \) is a Fourier transform matrix of \( CG \) with respect to \( D_1, \ldots, D_h \), the columns of \( A \) are parametrized by the elements of \( G \) whereas the rows of \( A \) correspond to

\[
\bigcup_{1 \leq a \leq h} \{(a, i, j) | 1 \leq i, j \leq d_a\},
\]

i.e. \((a, i, j)\) describes the position \((i, j)\) in \( D_a \). Now let \( B := P \cdot A^T \cdot Q \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \) be the matrix obtained from \( A \) by first transposing \( A \) and then performing in \( A^T \) the permutation \( P \) of the rows corresponding to the inversion \((G \ni g \mapsto g^{-1})\) and the permutation \( Q \) of the columns corresponding to \((a, i, j) \mapsto (a, j, i)\). According to the Schur relations, \( A \cdot B \) is a diagonal matrix with \( d_a^2 \) occurrences of \(|G|/d_a \) for \( 1 \leq a \leq h \). \( \square \)

The following example will illustrate (the proof of) Theorem 1:

**Example.** The symmetric group \( S_3 \) has (up to equivalence) three irreducible \( \mathbb{C} \)-representations: the trivial representation

\[
epsilon : (S_3 \ni \pi \mapsto 1),
\]

the alternating representation

\[
\epsilon : (S_3 \ni \pi \mapsto \text{sgn}(\pi)),
\]

and a 2-dimensional representation \( \Delta \) realizing \( S_3 \) as the symmetry group of a regular triangle. If we take its center of gravity as the origin in 2-space and denote its vertices by \( e_1, e_2, e_3 \), then \( \{e_1, e_2\} \) is a basis and \( e_3 = -e_1 - e_2 \). The natural \( S_3 \)-action \( \pi e_i := e_{\pi i} \) yields the following realization of \( \Delta \):

\[
\Delta(1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Delta(123) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Delta(132) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\Delta(12) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Delta(23) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Delta(13) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
\]

Thus

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\
0 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
(1) | (123) | (132) | (12) | (23) | (13)
\]
is a Fourier transform on $S_3$. The corresponding matrix $B$ reads as follows:

$$
B = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\
\end{bmatrix}
$$

and $A \cdot B$ equals the diagonal matrix diag(6, 6, 3, 3, 3, 3).

We will apply Theorem 1 to obtain bounds on the linear complexity of inverse Fourier transforms. To this end, we need a result due to Kaminski, Kirkpatrick and Bshouty [15], which in our terminology reads as follows:

**Theorem 2** Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times q}$ and $c \geq 2$. Then

$$L_c(A^\top) = L_c(A) - q + p - z(A) + z(A^\top),$$

where $z(A)$ denotes the number of all-zero rows of $A$. In particular, $L_c(A) = L_c(A^\top)$ for any invertible matrix $A$.

Combining Theorems 1 and 2, we get

**Theorem 3** Let $A$ be a Fourier transform matrix for the finite group $G$. Then

1. $L_c(A^{-1}) \leq L_c(A) + |G|$ for any $c \geq 2$.
2. $|L_c(A) - L_c(A^{-1})| \leq |G|$.

**Proof.** By Theorem 1,

$$A^{-1} = P \cdot A^\top \cdot Q \cdot \left( \bigoplus_{a=1}^{h} \frac{d_a}{|G|} E_{d_a} \right).$$

As $A$ is invertible, we have $L_c(A^\top) = L_c(A)$ according to Theorem 2. Since $L_c(P) = 0$ for permutation matrices $P$ and $L_c(M_1 \cdot M_2) \leq L_c(M_1) + L_c(M_2)$ for arbitrary $n$-square matrices $M_1$ and $M_2$, our claims follow easily. \hfill \Box

## 3 Lower bounds in the $L_2$-model

In this section we are going to prove a general lower bound for the 2-linear complexity of any Fourier transform on a finite group $G$. 
Theorem 4 If G is a finite group, CG ∼ Ωₗᵢ=₁ C₄ᵢ×ₖᵢ, then

\[ L_2(G) \geq \log \frac{|G| |G|/2}{\prod_{i=1}^h d_i^{2d_i^2/2}}. \]

PROOF. Let \( A \) be a Fourier transform matrix for \( G \). Then, according to Morgenstern’s Theorem [18], \( L_2(A) \geq \log |\det A| \). Define \( B = P \cdot A^T \cdot Q \) as in the proof of Theorem 1. By Theorem 1, \( A \cdot B \) is a diagonal matrix with \( d_a^2 \) occurrences of \(|G|/d_a \) for \( 1 \leq a \leq h \). As \( \det B = \pm \det A^T = \pm \det A \), we get

\[
|\det A|^2 = |\det A \cdot B| = \prod_{i=1}^h \left( \frac{|G|}{d_i} \right)^{d_i^2} = \frac{|G|^{d_1^2 + \ldots + d_h^2}}{\prod_i d_i^{d_i^2}} = \frac{|G|^{|G|}}{\prod_i d_i^{d_i^2}}.
\]

By Morgenstern’s Theorem, our claim follows. □

This result has several interesting consequences.

Corollary 1 For any finite group \( G \),

\[ L_2(G) \geq \frac{1}{4} (1 + \frac{1}{|G'|}) |G| \log |G| > \frac{1}{4} |G| \log |G|. \]

PROOF. \( CG \sim \bigoplus_{i=1}^h C_{d_i \times k_i} \) implies \(|G| = \sum_{i=1}^h d_i^2\). As the number of one-dimensional irreducible representations of \( G \) equals \([G : G']\), see e.g. [13, V, 6.5], we get

\[ \sum_{d_i > 1} d_i^2 = |G| - [G : G']. \]

Hence, by Theorem 4,

\[ L_2(G) \geq \frac{|G|}{2} \log |G| - \sum_{d_i > 1} \frac{d_i^2}{4} \log d_i^2 \geq \frac{|G|}{2} \log |G| - \sum_{d_i > 1} \frac{d_i^2}{4} \log |G| \geq \frac{|G|}{2} \log |G| - \frac{1}{4} (1 - \frac{1}{|G'|}) |G| \log |G| = \frac{1}{4} (1 + \frac{1}{|G'|}) |G| \log |G|. \]

This proves Corollary 1.
Corollary 2 If $G$ is abelian then

$$L_2(G) \geq \frac{1}{2} |G| \log |G|.$$ 

Proof. Use Theorem 4 and the fact that all $d_i = 1$ for an abelian group $G$. □

As a special case of Corollary 1 we mention

Corollary 3 If $|G'| = 2$ then

$$L_2(G) \geq \frac{3}{8} |G| \log |G|.$$ 

4 FFT for a class of Frobenius groups

We are going to consider a special class of Frobenius groups $G_n$, constructed as follows: For $n \geq 2$, let $F_n$ be the additive group of the finite field $GF(2^n)$ and let $H_n$ denote the multiplicative group of that field. It is well known that $F_n$ is an elementary abelian group of order $2^n$ and $H_n$ is cyclic of order $2^n - 1$. $H_n$ acts faithfully on $F_n$ by automorphisms via $H_n \ni h \mapsto (F_n \ni f \mapsto hf)$. As $hf \neq f$ for every $h \in H_n \setminus \{1\}$ and $f \in F_n \setminus \{0\}$, $H_n$ can be viewed as a fixed-point-free automorphism group of $F_n$. Hence the semi-direct product $G_n := F_n \rtimes H_n$ is a Frobenius group of order $2^n(2^n - 1)$, see e.g. [13, V, §8].

The ordinary representation theory of Frobenius groups is well understood, see e.g. [13, V, Satz 16.13]. In our case, $G_n$ has (up to equivalence) exactly the following irreducible representations:

1. $2^n - 1$ one-dimensional representations $\chi_i$ ($1 \leq i < 2^n$) obtained by composing each linear character $\eta_i$ of the cyclic group $H_n$ with the natural projection $G_n \to H_n \simeq G_n/F_n$, i.e.

$$\chi_i(fh) := \eta_i(h)$$

for all $f \in F_n$ and all $h \in H_n$.

2. One $(2^n - 1)$-dimensional representation $\gamma$ which is induced by any non-trivial linear character $\phi$ of $F_n$:

$$\gamma = \phi \uparrow G_n.$$ 

Note that the restriction of $\gamma$ to $F_n$ equals the direct sum of all non-trivial linear characters of $F_n$:

$$\gamma \downarrow F_n = \bigoplus_{\psi \in X(F_n)} \psi.$$ 
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Now we can state the main result of this section.

**Theorem 5** For the groups $G_n$ defined above,

$$L_2(G_n) < (\frac{1}{2} + \frac{5}{2^{n-1}})|G_n|\log |G_n|.$$ 

In particular, $L_2(G_n) < 0.6|G_n|\log |G_n|$ for all $n \geq 7$.

**Proof.** Given $a = \sum_{g \in G_n} a_g g \in CG_n$, we have to compute $\chi_1(a), \ldots, \chi_{2^n-1}(a)$ and $\gamma(a)$.

In order to compute $\gamma(a)$, write $a = \sum_{h \in H_n} \alpha_h h$ with $\alpha_h := \sum_{f \in F_n} a_{fh} f \in CF_n$. Then

$$\gamma(a) = \sum_{h \in H_n} (\gamma \downarrow F_n)(\alpha_h) \cdot \gamma(h)$$

$$= \sum_{h \in H_n} \bigoplus_{\varphi \in \mathcal{F}(F_n)} \psi(\alpha_h) \cdot (\phi \uparrow G_n)(h).$$

According to the last formula we first compute for each $h \in H_n$ all $\psi(\alpha_h)$, $\psi \in \mathcal{F}(F_n)$, by $|H_n|$ evaluations of a $DFT(F_n)$. This can be done by fast Hadamard-Walsh transforms in at most $|H_n| \cdot |F_n|\log |F_n|$ arithmetic operations. The matrices $(\phi \uparrow G_n)(h)$ are monomial with nonzero entries equal to $\pm1$. (Observe that $\phi(F_n) = \{\pm1\}$ because $F_n$ is an elementary abelian 2-group.) The multiplication of the diagonal matrices $(\Theta_{\psi \neq \psi}(\alpha_h))$ by $(\phi \uparrow G_n)(h)$ is therefore free in our computational model. Moreover, the concluding summation is also free since all the summands have their nonzero entries at pairwise disjoint positions: as $\gamma$ is irreducible we have $\dim \gamma(CG) = |H_n|^2$. On the other hand, the summand corresponding to $h$ has its $\leq |H_n|$ nonzero entries at the support of the monomial matrix $(\phi \uparrow G_n)(h)$.

To evaluate all $\chi_i(a)$ simultaneously we use the coefficients

$$b_h := \sum_{f \in F_n} a_{fh} = 1_{F_n}(\alpha_h)$$

already computed in the first step. According to (1),

$$\chi_i(a) = \eta_i(\sum_{h \in H_n} b_h h),$$

and we obtain all $\chi_i(a)$ by a single $DFT(H_n)$. Thus we get $\chi_1(a), \ldots, \chi_{2^n-1}(a)$ with at most $L_2(H_n)$ operations. Altogether we have

$$L_2(G_n) \leq |H_n||F_n|\log |F_n| + L_2(H_n)$$

$$\leq |G_n|\log |F_n| + 9|H_n|\log |H_n|$$

$$< (n + \frac{9n}{2^n})|G_n|.$$
As $\log |G_n| \geq 2n - 2^{1-n}$ for $n \geq 2$, we get

$$L_2(G_n) < \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{5}{2^{n-1}}\right)|G_n| \log |G_n| .$$

Comparing upper and lower bounds for the groups $G_n$:

$$\frac{1}{4}(1 + \frac{1}{2^n - 1})|G_n| \log |G_n| < L_2(G_n) < \frac{1}{2}(1 + \frac{5}{2^n - 2})|G_n| \log |G_n| ,$$

we see that they asymptotically differ by a factor of 2. This is quite similar to the situation for elementary abelian 2-groups $G$ where we have

$$\frac{1}{2} |G| \log |G| \leq L_2(G) \leq |G| \log |G| .$$

In the next section, we will present an infinite class of groups $G$ satisfying

$$\frac{3}{8} |G| \log |G| \leq L_2(G) \leq \frac{3}{4}(1 + \frac{2}{\log |G|}) |G| \log |G| .$$

Again, lower and upper bounds differ by an asymptotic factor of 2.

5 FFT for extra-special 2-groups

In this section, we are going to present another class of finite groups with faster Fourier transforms than those of elementary abelian 2-groups.

Let $G$ be an extra-special 2-group of order $2^{2m+1}$, i.e. the center of $G$ is of order 2 and equals the Frattini subgroup of $G$. Up to equivalence, $G$ has exactly the following irreducible representations, see e.g. [13, V, 16.14]:

1. $2^m$ one-dimensional representations $\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_{2^m}$

2. One $2^m$-dimensional representation $\gamma$ which is induced by a linear character $\phi_1$ of a maximal abelian normal subgroup $A \trianglelefteq G$. Note that $|A| = 2^{m+1}$ and either $A \cong C_2 \times C_2^{m-1}$ or $A \cong C_2^{m+1}$ (see e.g. [13, III, 13.8]). Moreover, $\gamma \downarrow A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{2^m} \phi_i$, where the $\phi_i$ are distinct linear characters of $A$.

Thus like the groups $G_n$ in the previous section, extra-special 2-groups have only one irreducible representation of large degree ($\approx \sqrt{|G|}$), all other irreducible representations are one-dimensional. Again, this situation leads to very fast Fourier transforms:
Theorem 6  For an extra-special 2-group \( G \),

\[
\frac{3}{8} |G| \log |G| \leq L_2(G) < \frac{3}{4} |G| \log |G| + \frac{3}{2} |G| .
\]

Proof. We have to evaluate \( \chi_1(a), \ldots, \chi_{2m}(a) \) and \( \gamma(a) \) for a given \( a \in CG \). As before, write \( a = \sum_{h \in G/A} \alpha_h h \) with \( \alpha_h \in CA \) and evaluate the (unique) Fourier transform \( \mathcal{W}_A \) of the abelian group \( A \) at all \( \alpha_h \). This takes at most \([G : A]L_2(A)\) linear operations.

Now we can compute \( \gamma(a) \) according to the equation

\[
\gamma(a) = \sum_{h \in G/A} (\gamma \downarrow A)(\alpha_h) \gamma(h) = \sum_{h \in G/A} (\bigoplus_{i=1}^{2m} \phi_i(\alpha_h))(\phi_1 \uparrow G)(h). 
\]

The multiplication of the diagonal matrix \((\bigoplus_{i=1}^{2m} \phi_i(\alpha_h))\) by the monomial matrix \((\phi_1 \uparrow G)(h)\) takes at most \( 2^m \) arithmetic operations. As we can assume that one of the coset representatives \( h \in G/A \) equals \( 1 \), and as the concluding summation is free (see the proof of Theorem 2), \( \gamma(a) \) can be computed with at most \( 2^{m}(2^{m} - 1) \) operations.

It remains to compute \( \chi_1(a), \ldots, \chi_{2m}(a) \). To this end, we observe that any linear character \( \psi \) of \( G/A \) can be viewed as a linear character of \( G \) by composing it with the natural projection \( G \to G/A \). It is well known that the linear characters of a finite group \( G \) form an abelian group \( X(G) \) under pointwise multiplication, the so-called character group of \( G \). Thus, if \( \chi \) is a linear character of \( G \) and \( \psi_1, \ldots, \psi_{2m} \) are all linear characters of \( G/A \), then \( \chi \psi_1, \ldots, \chi \psi_{2m} \in X(G) \) are pairwise distinct and \( \chi \psi_i \downarrow A = \chi \downarrow A \). By Frobenius reciprocity, \( \chi \psi_i \) are all linear characters of \( G \) whose restriction to \( A \) equals \( \chi \downarrow A \). As

\[
\chi \psi_i(a) = \sum_{h \in G/A} \chi \psi_i(\alpha_h) \cdot \chi \psi_i(h) = \sum_{h \in G/A} \psi_i(hA)((\chi \downarrow A)(\alpha_h) \cdot \chi(h)),
\]

\( \chi \psi_1(a), \ldots, \chi \psi_{2m}(a) \) can be computed from the \( (\chi \downarrow A)(\alpha_h) \) by a DFT of the elementary abelian 2-group \( G/A \) and \([G : A] - 1\) additional multiplications. To evaluate all linear characters of \( G \), we repeat this process \( 2^m \) times. This takes at most \( 2^m(L_2(G/A) + [G : A] - 1) \) operations. Altogether, we have

\[
L_2(G) \leq [G : A]L_2(A) + 2^m(2^m - 1) + 2^m(L_2(G/A) + [G : A] - 1) .
\]

For \( A \cong C_4 \times C_2^{m-1} \), \( L_2(A) \leq 9 \cdot 2^{m-1} + 4(m - 1)2^{m-1} \), and

\[
L_2(G) \leq 2^m(9 \cdot 2^{m-1} + 4(m - 1)2^{m-1} + 2^m - 1 + m2^m + 2^m - 1) < 2^{m+1}(\frac{9}{4} + \frac{3}{2}m)
\]
\[ \frac{6m + 9}{4(2m + 1)}(2m + 1)2^{2m+1} \]
\[ = \frac{3}{4} |G| \log |G| + \frac{3}{2} |G|. \]

For \( A \simeq C_2^{m+1} \), we obtain the slightly better bound

\[ L_2(G) < \frac{3}{4} |G| \log |G| + \frac{3}{4} |G|. \]

The lower bound on \( L_2(G) \) follows directly from Corollary 3. \( \square \)
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