

Zero Testing of p -adic and Modular Polynomials

Marek Karpinski ^{*} Alf van der Poorten [†]
Igor Shparlinski [‡]

Abstract

We obtain new algorithms to test if a given multivariate polynomial over p -adic fields is identical to zero. We also consider zero testing of polynomials in residue rings. The results complement a series of known results about zero testing of polynomials over integers, rationals and finite fields.

^{*}Dept. of Computer Science, University of Bonn, 53117 Bonn, and the International Computer Science Institute, Berkeley, California. Research supported by DFG Grant KA 673/4-1, and by the ESPRIT BR Grants 7097 and EC-US 030, by DIMACS, and by the Max-Planck Research Prize. Email: marek@cs.uni-bonn.de

[†]School of MPCE, Macquarie University, NSW 2109, Australia.
Email: alf@mpce.mq.edu.au

[‡]School of MPCE, Macquarie University, NSW 2109, Australia.
Email: igor@mpce.mq.edu.au

1 Introduction

One of the central questions of zero-testing of functions can be formulated as follows.

Assume that a function f from some family of functions \mathcal{F} is given by a black box \mathfrak{B} , that is for each point x from the definition domain of f entered into \mathfrak{B} it computes the value of f at this point. The task is to design an efficient algorithm testing if f is identical to zero and using as little of calls of \mathfrak{B} as possible.

In a number of papers this question was considered for polynomials, rational functions and algebraic functions belonging various families of functions over various algebraic domains [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 14, 16, 18], some additional references can be found in Section 4.4 of [15] and in Chapter 12 of [17].

In this paper we consider similar questions for multivariate polynomials over p -adic fields.

As usual \mathbb{Q}_p denotes the p -adic completion of the field of rationals, and \mathbb{C}_p the p -adic completion of its algebraic closure.

We normalize the additive valuation $\text{ord}_p t$ such that $\text{ord}_p p = 1$.

The ring of p -adic integers \mathbb{Z}_p is the set

$$\mathbb{Z}_p = \{t \in \mathbb{Q}_p : \text{ord}_p t \geq 0\}.$$

We consider exponential polynomials of the class $\mathcal{P}_p(m, n)$ which consist of the multivariate polynomials of the shape

$$f(X_1, \dots, X_m) = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=0}^n a_{i_1, \dots, i_m} X_1^{i_1} \dots X_m^{i_m} \quad (1)$$

of degree at most n over \mathbb{C}_p with respect to each variable and such that either f is identical to zero or

$$\min_{0 \leq i_1, \dots, i_m \leq n} \text{ord}_p a_{i_1, \dots, i_m} = 0.$$

Generally speaking, two different types of black boxes are possible.

We say that a multivariate polynomial (1) over a ring \mathcal{R} is given by an *exact* black box \mathfrak{B} of the *exact* if for any point $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathcal{R}^m$ it outputs the exact value $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x})$ and it does it in time which does not depend on \mathbf{x} .

For zero testing over finite fields and rings black boxes of this type are quite natural but for infinite algebraic domains they are not.

For example for testing over \mathbb{C}_p the following we consider the following weaker but more realistic black boxes.

We say that a multivariate polynomial (1) over \mathbb{C}_p is given by an *approximating* black box $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}$ if for any point $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^m$ and any integer $k \geq 0$ it computes a p -adic approximation $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_k(\mathbf{x})$ to $f(\mathbf{x})$ of order k , that is

$$\text{ord}_p \left(\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_k(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}) \right) \geq k$$

and does it in time $T(k)$ depends on k polynomially, $T(k) = k^{O(1)}$.

Informally, an approximating black box can make no miracles but just performs ‘honest’ computation, its only advantage is that it knows the polynomial $f(x)$ explicitly.

Here we design a polynomial time algorithms of zero testing of polynomials of class $\mathcal{P}_p(m, n)$ by using a black box of the aforementioned type. Sparse polynomials are considered as well. Using the Strassman theorem [9] one can apply our result to zero testing of various analytic functions over p -adic fields, exponential polynomials of the form

$$E(X) = \sum_{i=1}^r f_i(X) \varphi_i^{g_i(X)}, \quad (2)$$

where $\varphi_i \in \mathbb{C}_p$, $f_i(X) \in \mathbb{C}_p[X]$, $g_i(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$, in particular.

The we consider polynomials (1) with coefficients from the residue ring \mathbb{Z}/M modulo an integer $M \geq 2$.

Our methods is based on some ideas of [10, 11, 12, 13] related to p -adic Lagrange interpolation and estimating of p -adic orders of some determinants.

2 Zero Testing of p -adic Polynomials

Here we consider the case of general polynomials $f \in \mathcal{P}_p(m, n)$. It is reasonable to accept the total number of coefficients $(n+1)^m$ as the measure of the input-size of such polynomials.

We also assume that each polynomial $f \in \mathcal{P}_p(m, n)$ is given by an *approximating* black box $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}$.

Theorem 1. A polynomial $f \in \mathcal{P}_p(m, n)$ can be zero tested within $N = (n + 1)^m$ calls of an approximating black box $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_k$ with

$$k = \left\lceil \frac{(n + 1)^m}{p - 1} \right\rceil.$$

Proof. First of all we consider the case of univariate polynomials .

We set $k = \lceil n/(p - 1) \rceil$ and make $n + 1$ calls $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_k(j)$, $j = 0, \dots, n$.

If $f \in \mathcal{P}_p(1, n)$ is identical to zero then obviously $\text{ord}_p \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_k(j) \geq k$, $j = 0, \dots, n$. We show that otherwise for at least one value of j we have $\text{ord}_p \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_k(j) < k$.

Indeed, assuming that this is not true we obtain $\text{ord}_p f(j) \geq k$, $j = 0, \dots, n$.

Using the Lagrange interpolation we obtain

$$f(X) = \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{\prod_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq j}}^n (X - i)}{\prod_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq j}}^n (j - i)} f(j)$$

Because for every $j = 0, \dots, n$

$$\text{ord}_p \prod_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq j}}^n (j - i) \leq \text{ord}_p j! + \text{ord}_p (n - j)! \leq \frac{n}{p - 1} < k$$

we see that all coefficients of f have positive p -adic orders which contradicts our assumption $f \in \mathcal{P}_p(1, n)$. This finishes the proof of the theorem for $m = 1$.

For $m \geq 2$ for a polynomial $f \in \mathcal{P}_p(m, n)$ we use the substitution

$$X_i = X^{(n+1)^{\nu-1}}, \nu = 1, \dots, m$$

and consider the polynomial

$$f(X, X^{n+1}, \dots, X^{(n+1)^{m-1}}) \in \mathcal{P}_p(1, (n + 1)^m).$$

for which we apply the algorithm above. □

Now we consider a very important subclass $\mathcal{P}_p(m, n, t)$ of t -sparse polynomials $f \in \mathcal{P}_p(m, n)$ with at most t non-zero coefficients. It is reasonable to accept the total number of non-zero coefficients times the bit-size of the coding the m corresponding exponents $tm \log n$ as the measure of the input-size of such polynomials.

Theorem 2. A polynomial $f \in \mathcal{P}_p(m, n, t)$ can be zero tested within

$$N = \begin{cases} t, & \text{if } m = 1; \\ mt^3, & \text{if } m \geq 2; \end{cases}$$

calls of an approximating black box $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_k$ with

$$k = \begin{cases} \lceil 0.5t^2 \log_p 4n \rceil, & \text{if } m = 1; \\ \lceil t^2 \log_p 8mnt \rceil, & \text{if } m \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 1, first of all we consider the case of univariate polynomials.

Let g be a primitive root modulo p and therefore modulo all power of p , if $p \geq 3$ and let $g = 5$ if $p = 2$. In any case the multiplicative order τ_s of g modulo p^s is at least

$$\tau_s \geq 0.25p^s \quad (3)$$

for any integer $s \geq 1$.

We set $k = \lceil 0.5t^2 \log_p 4n \rceil$ and make t calls $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_k(g^j)$, $j = 0, \dots, t-1$.

If $f \in \mathcal{P}_p(1, n, t)$ is identical to zero then obviously $\text{ord}_p \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_k(g^j) \geq k$, $j = 0, \dots, t-1$. We show that otherwise for at least one value of j we have $\text{ord}_p \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_k(g^j) < k$.

Indeed, assuming that this is not true we obtain $\text{ord}_p f(g^j) \geq k$, $j = 0, \dots, t-1$.

Let

$$f(X) = \sum_{i=1}^t A_i X^{r_i},$$

where $0 \leq r_1 < \dots < r_t \leq n$. Recalling that

$$\min_{1 \leq i \leq t} \text{ord}_p A_i = 0,$$

from the identities

$$\sum_{i=1}^t z_i g^{j r_i} = f(g^j), \quad j = 0, \dots, t-1$$

and the Cramer rule we derive that

$$\text{ord}_p \Delta \geq \min_{0 \leq j \leq t-1} \text{ord}_p f(g^j) \geq k, \quad (4)$$

where Δ is the following determinant

$$\Delta = \det \left(g^{(j-1)r_i} \right)_{i,j=1}^t.$$

Therefore

$$\Delta = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq t} (g^{r_i} - g^{r_j})$$

Because $g^{r_i} - g^{r_j} \in \mathbb{Z}$ its p -adic order is just the largest power p^s of p which divides this number. Therefore the multiplicative order τ_s of g modulo p^s divides $r_i - r_j$. Recalling the inequality (3) we obtain $0.25p^s \leq |r_i - r_j| \leq n$. Hence, obtain

$$\text{ord}_p (g^{r_i} - g^{r_j}) \leq \log_p 4n, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq t.$$

Finally we derive

$$\text{ord}_p \Delta \leq 0.5t(t-1) \log_p 4n < k$$

which contradicts the inequality (4).

For $m \geq 2$ we use the reduction to the univariate case which for the first time was used in [6].

Let l be the smallest prime number exceeding $mt(t-1)$. Obviously

$$l \leq 2mt(t-1).$$

Integers $0 \leq c_{uv} \leq l-1$ we define from the congruences

$$c_{uv} \equiv \frac{1}{u+v} \pmod{l}, \quad u, v = 1, \dots, (l-1)/2.$$

The matrix

$$C = (c_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{l-1}$$

is a *Cauchy* matrix which has the property that each its minor is non-singular modulo l , and therefore over integers. We claim that if f is a non identical to zero polynomial then so is at least one of the polynomials

$$f(X^{c_{1v}}, \dots, X^{c_{mv}}), \quad v = 1, \dots, (l-1)/2. \quad (5)$$

Let

$$f(X_1, \dots, X_m) = \sum_{i=1}^t A_i X_1^{r_{1i}} \dots X_m^{r_{mi}}$$

with some integers r_{ij} , $i = 1, \dots, t$, $j = 1, \dots, m$. We show that for at least one $j = 1, \dots, l-1$ the powers of the monomials appearing in the

polynomials (5) are pairwise different. Indeed, for each pair of distinct exponents (r_{1i}, \dots, r_{mi}) and (r_{1j}, \dots, r_{mj}) , $1 \leq i < j \leq t$, there are at most $m - 1$ values of $v = 1, \dots, (l - 1)/2$ satisfying

$$c_{1v}r_{1i} + \dots + c_{mv}r_{mi} = c_{1v}r_{1j} + \dots + c_{mv}r_{mj}. \quad (6)$$

Therefore the total number of $v = 1, \dots, (l - 1)/2$ for which (6) happens for at least one pair of exponents is at most $0.5(m - 1)t(t - 1) < (l - 1)/2$. Thus if f is not identical to zero then at least one of the polynomials (5) is not identical to zero polynomial of with at most t monomials and of degree at most $(l - 1)mn \leq 2m^2nt^2 \leq 2m^2n^2t^2$. Thus each of them can be tested within t calls of $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_k$ with $k = \lceil t^2 \log_p 8mnt \rceil$ and the total number of calls is $t(l - 1)/2 \leq mt^3$. \square

3 Zero Testing of Sparse p -adic Polynomials

Let $\mathcal{Q}(M, m, n)$ denote the class of multivariate polynomials (1) with coefficients from \mathbb{Z}/M and such that either f is identical to zero in \mathbb{Z}/M or its coefficients are jointly relatively prime to M .

We also assume that each polynomial $f \in \mathcal{Q}(M, m, n)$ is given by an *exact* black box \mathfrak{B} .

We remark that as the polynomial

$$f(X_1, \dots, X_m) = \prod_{i=1}^m X_i(X_i - 1) \dots (X_i - n + 1)$$

shows there are non-zero polynomials of degree n which are identical to zero as functions modulo $M = n!$. So one of the necessary conditions to make such zero testing possible is

$$M \geq (n!)^m. \quad (7)$$

We obtain an algorithm which works for such M if $m = 1$ but unfortunately only for substantially large M if $m \geq 1$.

Theorem 3. *A polynomial $f \in \mathcal{Q}(M, m, n)$ with $M > ((n + 1)^m)!$ can be zero tested within $N = (n + 1)^m$ calls of an approximating black box \mathfrak{B} .*

Proof. First of all we consider the case of univariate polynomials .

We make $n + 1$ calls $\mathfrak{B}(j)$, $j = 0, \dots, n$.

If $f \in \mathcal{Q}(M, 1, n)$ is identical to zero in \mathbb{Z}/M then obviously $\mathfrak{B}(j) \equiv 0 \pmod{M}$, $j = 0, \dots, n$. We show that otherwise for at least one value of j we have $B(j) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{M}$.

Indeed, assuming that this is not true we obtain $f(j) \equiv 0 \pmod{M}$, $j = 0, \dots, n$.

Using the Lagrange interpolation we obtain

$$f(X) \equiv \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{\prod_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq j}}^n (X - i)}{\prod_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq j}}^n (j - i)} f(j) \pmod{M}$$

Because for every $j = 0, \dots, n$

$$\gcd \left(M, \prod_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq j}}^n (j - i) \right) = \gcd(M, j!(n - j)!) \mid \gcd(M, n!).$$

we see that all coefficients of f are divisible by $M/\gcd(M, n!) > 1$ which finishes the proof of the theorem for $m = 1$.

For $m \geq 2$ for a polynomial $f \in \mathcal{P}_p(m, n)$ we use the substitution

$$X_i = X^{(n+1)^{\nu-1}}, \nu = 1, \dots, m$$

and consider the polynomial

$$f \left(X, X^{n+1}, \dots, X^{(n+1)^{m-1}} \right) \in \mathcal{P}_p(1, (n+1)^m).$$

for which we apply the algorithm above. □

4 Some Remarks and Further Applications

The Strassman's theorem claim that if a function $F(X)$ is given by a power series

$$F(X) = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} a_h X^h \in \mathbb{C}_p[[X]]$$

converging on some disk

$$D = \{x \in \mathbb{C}_p : \text{ord}_p x \geq \delta\}$$

with

$$\min_{h=0,1,\dots} \text{ord}_p a_h = 0$$

and n is defined by

$$n = \max\{h : \text{ord}_p a_h = 0\}$$

then

$$F(X) = f(X)U(X)$$

where $f(X) \in \mathbb{C}_p[X]$ is a polynomial of degree at most n and the power series $U(X) \in \mathbb{C}_p[[X]]$ satisfies $\text{ord}_p U(x) = 0$ for all $x \in D$.

Thus an estimate on the growth of coefficients of $F(X)$ is known then one can bound M and then apply our results to zero testing of F . In particular, for exponential polynomials (2 such a bound of n (under some additional conditions) can be found in [13] (see also [10, 12]).

We also remark that it would be interesting to obtain an algorithm of zero testing of t -sparse polynomials.

Finally, the lower bound on $M \geq ((n+1)^m)!$ in Theorem 3 can probably be weakened and could be made closer to the lower bound (7). In fact we conjecture that essentially smaller M can be dealt with if one considers polynomials which are either identical to zero or take at least one value relatively prime to M .

References

- [1] M. Ben-Or and M. Tiwari, ‘A deterministic algorithm for sparse multivariate polynomial interpolation’, *Proc. 20th ACM Symp. on Theor. Comp. Sci.*, 1988, 301–309.
- [2] M. Clausen, A. Dress, J. Grabmeier and M. Karpinski, ‘On zero testing and interpolation of k -sparse multivariate polynomials over finite field’, *Theor. Comp. Sci.*, **84** (1991), 151–164.
- [3] D. Grigoriev, ‘Testing shift-equivalence of polynomials by deterministic, probabilistic and quantum machines’, *Theor. Comp. Sci.*, **180** (1997), 217–228.

- [4] D. Grigoriev and M. Karpinski, ‘Algorithms for sparse rational interpolation’, *Proc. Intern. Symp. on Symbolic and Algebraic Comp.*, 1991, 7–13.
- [5] D. Grigoriev and M. Karpinski, ‘A zero-test and an interpolation algorithm for the shifted sparse polynomials’, *Lect. Notes in Comp. Sci.*, **673** (1993), 162–169.
- [6] D. Grigoriev, M. Karpinski and M. Singer, ‘Fast parallel algorithm for sparse multivariate polynomials over finite fields’, *SIAM J. Comput.*, **19**(1990), 1059–1063.
- [7] D. Grigoriev, M. Karpinski and M. Singer, ‘Computational complexity of sparse rational interpolation’, *SIAM J. Comput.*, **23** (1994), 1–11.
- [8] M. Karpinski and I. E. Shparlinski, ‘On some approximation problems concerning sparse polynomials over finite fields’, *Theor. Comp. Sci.*, **157**(1996), 259–266.
- [9] N. Koblitz, *p-adic numbers, p-adic analysis, and zeta-functions*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977.
- [10] A. J. van der Poorten, ‘Zeros of p -adic exponential polynomials’, *Indag. Math.*, **38** (1976), 46–49.
- [11] A. J. van der Poorten, ‘Hermite interpolation and p -adic exponential polynomials’, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.*, **23** (1976), 12–26.
- [12] A. J. van der Poorten and R. Rumely, ‘Zeros of p -adic exponential polynomials, 2’, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.*, **36** (1987), 1–15.
- [13] A. J. van der Poorten and I. E. Shparlinski, ‘On the number of zeros of exponential polynomials and related questions’ *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **46** (1992), 401–412.
- [14] R. M. Roth and G. M. Benedek, ‘Interpolation and approximation of sparse multivariate polynomials over $GF(2)$ ’, *SIAM J. Comp.* **20** (1991), 291–314.
- [15] I. E. Shparlinski, *Finite fields: Theory and Computation*, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1997.

- [16] K. Werther, 'The complexity of sparse polynomials interpolation over finite fields', *Appl. Algebra in Engin., Commun. and Comp.*, **5**(1994), 91–103.
- [17] R. Zippel, *Effective polynomial computation*, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1993.
- [18] R. Zippel, 'Zero testing of algebraic functions', *Inform. Proc. Letters*, **61** (1997) 63–67.