# Zero Testing of *p*-adic and Modular Polynomials

Marek Karpinski <sup>\*</sup> Alf van der Poorten <sup>†</sup> Igor Shparlinski <sup>‡</sup>

#### Abstract

We obtain new algorithms to test if a given multivariate polynomial over p-adic fields is identical to zero. We also consider zero testing of polynomials in residue rings. The results complement a series of known results about zero testing of polynomials over integers, rationals and finite fields.

<sup>\*</sup>Dept. of Computer Science, University of Bonn, 53117 Bonn, and the International Computer Science Institute, Berkeley, California. Research supported by DFG Grant KA 673/4-1, and by the ESPRIT BR Grants 7097 and EC-US 030, by DIMACS, and by the Max-Planck Research Prize. Email: marek@cs.uni-bonn.de

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>School of MPCE, Macquarie University, NSW 2109, Australia. Email: alf@mpce.mq.edu.au

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>School of MPCE, Macquarie University, NSW 2109, Australia. Email: igor@mpce.mq.edu.au

# 1 Introduction

One of the central questions of zero-testing of functions can be formulated as follows.

Assume that a function f from some family of functions  $\mathcal{F}$  is given by a black box  $\mathfrak{B}$ , that is for each point x from the definition domain of f entered into  $\mathfrak{B}$  it computes the value of f at this point. The task is to design an efficient algorithm testing if f is identical to zero and using as little of calls of  $\mathfrak{B}$  as possible.

In a number of papers this question was considered for polynomials, rational functions and algebraic functions belonging various families of functions over various algebraic domains [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 14, 16, 18], some additional references can be found in Section 4.4 of [15] and in Chapter 12 of [17].

In this paper we consider similar questions for multivariate polynomials over *p*-adic fields.

As usual  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  denotes the *p*-adic completion of the field of rationals, and  $\mathbb{C}_p$  the *p*-adic completion of its algebraic closure.

We normalize the additive valuation  $\operatorname{ord}_p t$  such that  $\operatorname{ord}_p p = 1$ .

The ring of *p*-adic integers  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is the set

$$\mathbb{Z}_p = \{t \in \mathbb{Q}_p : \operatorname{ord}_p t \ge 0\}.$$

We consider exponential polynomials of the class  $\mathcal{P}_p(m,n)$  which consist of the multivariate polynomials of the shape

$$f(X_1, \dots, X_m) = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=0}^n a_{i_1, \dots, i_m} X_1^{i_1} \dots X_m^{i_m}$$
(1)

of degree at most n over  $\mathbb{C}_p$  with respect to each variable and such that either f is identical to zero or

$$\min_{0 \le i_1, \dots, i_m \le n} \operatorname{ord}_p a_{i_1, \dots, i_m} = 0.$$

Generally speaking, two different types of black boxes are possible.

We say that a multivariate polynomial (1) over a ring  $\mathcal{R}$  is given by an *exact* black box  $\mathfrak{B}$  of the *exact* if for any point  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots x_m) \in \mathcal{R}^m$  it outputs the exact value  $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x})$  and it does it in time which does not depend on  $\mathbf{x}$ .

For zero testing over finite fields and rings black boxes of this type are quite natural but for infinite algebraic domains they are not.

For example for testing over  $\mathbb{C}_p$  the following we consider the following weaker but more realistic black boxes.

We say that a multivariate polynomial (1) over  $\mathbb{C}_p$  is given by an *approxi*mating black box  $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}$  if for any point  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^m$  and any integer  $k \geq 0$  it computes a *p*-adic approximation  $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_k(\mathbf{x})$  to  $f(\mathbf{x})$  of order k, that is

$$\operatorname{ord}_p\left(\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_k(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x})\right) \ge k$$

and does it in time T(k) depends on k polynomially,  $T(k) = k^{O(1)}$ .

Informally, an approximating black box can make no miracles but just performs 'honest' computation, its only advantage is that it knows the polynomial f(x) explicitly.

Here we design a polynomial time algorithms of zero testing of polynomials of class  $\mathcal{P}_p(m,n)$  by using a black box of the aforementioned type. Sparse polynomials are considered as well. Using the Strassman theorem [9] one can apply our result to zero testing of various analytic functions over *p*-adic fields, exponential polynomials of the form

$$E(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} f_i(X)\varphi_i^{g_i(X)},$$
(2)

where  $\varphi_i \in \mathbb{C}_p$ ,  $f_i(X) \in \mathbb{C}_p[X]$ ,  $g_i(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ , in particular.

The we consider polynomials (1) with coefficients from the residue ring  $\mathbb{Z}/M$  modulo an integer  $M \geq 2$ .

Our methods is based on some ideas of [10, 11, 12, 13] related to *p*-adic Lagrange interpolation and estimating of *p*-adic orders of some determinants.

# 2 Zero Testing of *p*-adic Polynomials

Here we consider the case of general polynomials  $f \in \mathcal{P}_p(m, n)$ . It is reasonable to accept the total number of coefficients  $(n+1)^m$  as the measure of the input-size of such polynomials.

We also assume that each polynomial  $f \in \mathcal{P}_p(m, n)$  is given by an *approxi*mating black box  $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}$ . **Theorem 1.** A polynomial  $f \in \mathcal{P}_p(m,n)$  can be zero tested within  $N = (n+1)^m$  calls of an approximating black box  $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_k$  with

$$k = \left\lceil \frac{(n+1)^m}{p-1} \right\rceil$$

Proof. First of all we consider the case of univariate polynomials . We set  $k = \lceil n/(p-1) \rceil$  and make n + 1 calls  $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_k(j), j = 0, \ldots, n$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{P}_p(1, n)$  is identical to zero then obviously  $\operatorname{ord}_p \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_k(j) \ge k, j = 0, \ldots, n$ . We show that otherwise for at least one value of j we have  $\operatorname{ord}_p \tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_k(j) < k$ . Indeed, assuming that this is not true we obtain  $\operatorname{ord}_p f(j) \ge k, j = 0, \ldots, n$ . Using the Lagrange interpolation we obtain

$$f(X) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{n} (X-i)}{\prod_{\substack{i\neq j \\ i\neq j}}^{n} (j-i)} f(j)$$

Because for every  $j = 0, \ldots, n$ 

$$\operatorname{ord}_{p} \prod_{i=0 \atop i \neq j}^{n} (j-i) \leq \operatorname{ord}_{p} j! + \operatorname{ord}_{p} (n-j)! \leq \frac{n}{p-1} < k$$

we see that all coefficients of f have positive p-adic orders which contradicts our assumption  $f \in \mathcal{P}_p(1, n)$ . This finishes the proof of the theorem for m = 1.

For  $m \geq 2$  for a polynomial  $f \in \mathcal{P}_p(m, n)$  we use the substitution

$$X_i = X^{(n+1)^{\nu-1}}, \nu = 1, \dots, m$$

and consider the polynomial

$$f(X, X^{n+1}, \dots, X^{(n+1)^{m-1}}) \in \mathcal{P}_p(1, (n+1)^m).$$

for which we apply the algorithm above.

Now we consider a very important subclass  $\mathcal{P}_p(m, n, t)$  of t-sparse polynomials  $f \in \mathcal{P}_p(m, n)$  with at most t non-zero coefficients. It is reasonable to accept the total number of non-zero coefficients times the bit-size of the coding the m corresponding exponents  $tm \log n$  as the measure of the input-size of such polynomials.

**Theorem 2.** A polynomial  $f \in \mathcal{P}_p(m, n, t)$  can be zero tested within

$$N = \begin{cases} t, & \text{if } m = 1; \\ mt^3, & \text{if } m \ge 2; \end{cases}$$

calls of an approximating black box  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_k$  with

$$k = \begin{cases} \left[ 0.5t^2 \log_p 4n \right], & \text{if } m = 1; \\ \left[ t^2 \log_p 8mnt \right], & \text{if } m \ge 2. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* As in the proof of Theorem 1, first of all we consider the case of univariate polynomials.

Let g be a primitive root modulo p and therefore modulo all power of p, if  $p \ge 3$  and let g = 5 if p = 2. In any case the multiplicative order  $\tau_s$  of g modulo  $p^s$  is at least

$$\tau_s \ge 0.25 p^s \tag{3}$$

for any integer  $s \ge 1$ .

We set  $k = \left[0.5t^2 \log_p 4n\right]$  and make t calls  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_k(g^j), j = 0, \dots, t-1$ .

If  $f \in \mathcal{P}_p(1, n, t)$  is identical to zero then obviously  $\operatorname{ord}_p \widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_k(g^j) \geq k, j = 0, \ldots, t-1$ . We show that otherwise for at least one value of j we have  $\operatorname{ord}_p \widetilde{\mathfrak{B}}_k(g^j) < k$ .

Indeed, assuming that this is not true we obtain  $\operatorname{ord}_p f(g^j) \ge k, j = 0, \ldots, t-1$ .

Let

$$f(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{t} A_i X^{r_i},$$

where  $0 \leq r_1 < \ldots < r_t \leq n$ . Recalling that

$$\min_{1 \le i \le t} \operatorname{ord}_p A_i = 0,$$

from the identities

$$\sum_{i=1}^{t} z_i g^{jr_i} = f(g^j), \qquad j = 0, \dots, t-1$$

and the Cramer rule we derive that

$$\operatorname{ord}_{p} \Delta \ge \min_{0 \le j \le t-1} \operatorname{ord}_{p} f(g^{j}) \ge k, \tag{4}$$

where  $\Delta$  is the following determinant

$$\Delta = \det \left( g^{(j-1)r_i} \right)_{i,j=1}^t$$

Therefore

$$\Delta = \prod_{1 \le i < j \le t} (g^{r_i} - g^{r_j})$$

Because  $g^{r_i} - g^{r_j} \in \mathbb{Z}$  its *p*-adic order is just the largest power  $p^s$  of *p* which divides this number. Therefore the multiplicative order  $\tau_s$  of *g* modulo  $p^s$  divides  $r_i - r_j$ . Recalling the inequality (3) we obtain  $0.25p^s \leq |r_i - r_j| \leq n$ . Hence, obtain

$$\operatorname{ord}_p(g^{r_i} - g^{r_j}) \le \log_p 4n, \qquad 1 \le i < j \le t.$$

Finally we derive

$$\operatorname{ord}_p \Delta \le 0.5t(t-1)\log_p 4n < k$$

which contradicts the inequality (4).

For  $m \geq 2$  we use the reduction to the univariate case which for the first time was used in [6].

Let l be the smallest prime number exceeding mt(t-1). Obviously

$$l \le 2mt(t-1)$$

Integers  $0 \leq c_{uv} \leq l-1$  we define from the congruences

$$c_{uv} \equiv \frac{1}{u+v} \pmod{l}, \qquad u, v = 1, \dots, (l-1)/2$$

The matrix

$$C = (c_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{l-1}$$

is a Cauchy matrix which has the property that each its minor is non-singular modulo l, and therefore over integers. We claim that if f is a non identical to zero polynomial then so is at least one of the polynomials

$$f(X^{c_{1v}},\ldots,X^{c_{mv}}), \qquad v=1,\ldots,(l-1)/2.$$
 (5)

Let

$$f(X_1, \dots, X_m) = \sum_{i=1}^t A_i X_1^{r_{1i}} \dots X_m^{r_{mi}}$$

with some integers  $r_{ij}$ , i = 1, ..., t, j = 1, ..., m. We show that for at least one j = 1, ..., l - 1 the powers of the monomials appearing in the

polynomials (5) are pairwise different. Indeed, for each pair of distinct exponents  $(r_{1i}, \ldots, r_{mi})$  and  $(r_{1j}, \ldots, r_{mj})$ ,  $1 \le i < j \le t$ , there are at most m-1 values of  $v = 1, \ldots, (l-1)/2$  satisfying

$$c_{1v}r_{1i} + \ldots + c_{mv}r_{mi} = c_{1v}r_{1j} + \ldots + c_{mv}r_{mj}.$$
(6)

Therefore the total number of  $v = 1, \ldots, (l-1)/2$  for which (6) happens for at least one pair of exponents is at most 0.5(m-1)t(t-1) < (l-1)/2. Thus if f is not identical to zero then at least one of the polynomials (5) is not identical to zero polynomial of with at most t monomials and of degree at most  $(l-1)mn \leq 2m^2nt^2 \leq 2m^2n^2t^2$ . Thus each of them can be tested within t calls of  $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_k$  with  $k = \lfloor t^2 \log_p 8mnt \rfloor$  and the total number of calls is  $t(l-1)/2 \leq mt^3$ .

# **3** Zero Testing of Sparse *p*-adic Polynomials

Let  $\mathcal{Q}(M, m, n)$  denote the class of multivariate polynomials (1) with coefficients from  $\mathbb{Z}/M$  and such that either f is identical to zero in  $\mathbb{Z}/M$  or its coefficients are jointly relatively prime to M.

We also assume that each polynomial  $f \in \mathcal{Q}(M, m, n)$  is given by an *exact* black box  $\mathfrak{B}$ .

We remark that as the polynomial

$$f(X_1, \dots, X_m) = \prod_{i=1}^m X_i(X_i - 1) \dots (X_i - n + 1)$$

shows there are non-zero polynomials of degree n which are identical to zero as functions modulo M = n!. So one of the necessary conditions to make such zero testing possible is

$$M \ge (n!)^m. \tag{7}$$

We obtain an algorithm which works for such M if m = 1 but unfortunately only for substantially large M if  $m \ge 1$ .

**Theorem 3.** A polynomial  $f \in \mathcal{Q}(M, m, n)$  with  $M > ((n+1)^m)!$  can be zero tested within  $N = (n+1)^m$  calls of an approximating black box  $\mathfrak{B}$ .

*Proof.* First of all we consider the case of univariate polynomials .

We make n + 1 calls  $\mathfrak{B}(j), j = 0, \ldots, n$ .

If  $f \in \mathcal{Q}(M, 1, n)$  is identical to zero in  $\mathbb{Z}/M$  then obviously  $\mathfrak{B}(j) \equiv 0 \pmod{M}$ ,  $j = 0, \ldots, n$ . We show that otherwise for at least one value of j we have  $B(j) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{M}$ .

Indeed, assuming that this is not true we obtain  $f(j) \equiv 0 \pmod{M}$ ,  $j = 0, \ldots, n$ .

Using the Lagrange interpolation we obtain

$$f(X) \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{n} (X-i)}{\prod_{\substack{i\neq j \\ i\neq j}}^{n} (j-i)} f(j) \pmod{M}$$

Because for every  $j = 0, \ldots, n$ 

$$\gcd\left(M,\prod_{\substack{i=0\\i\neq j}}^{n}(j-i)\right) = \gcd\left(M,j!(n-j)!\right) \mid \gcd\left(M,n!\right).$$

we see that all coefficients of f are divisible by  $M/\operatorname{gcd}(M, n!) > 1$  which finishes the proof of the theorem for m = 1.

For  $m \geq 2$  for a polynomial  $f \in \mathcal{P}_p(m, n)$  we use the substitution

$$X_i = X^{(n+1)^{\nu-1}}, \nu = 1, \dots, m$$

and consider the polynomial

$$f(X, X^{n+1}, \dots, X^{(n+1)^{m-1}}) \in \mathcal{P}_p(1, (n+1)^m).$$

for which we apply the algorithm above.

### 4 Some Remarks and Further Applications

The Strassman's theorem claim that if a function F(X) is given by a power series

$$F(X) = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} a_h X^h \in \mathbb{C}_p[[X]]$$

converging on some disk

$$D = \{ x \in \mathbb{C}_p : \operatorname{ord}_p x \ge \delta \}$$

with

$$\min_{h=0,1,\dots} \operatorname{ord}_p a_h = 0$$

and n is defined by

$$n = \max\{h : \operatorname{ord}_p a_h = 0\}$$

then

$$F(X) = f(X)U(X)$$

where  $f(X) \in \mathbb{C}_p[X]$  is a polynomial of degree at most n and the power series  $U(X) \in \mathbb{C}_p[[X]]$  satisfies  $\operatorname{ord}_p U(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in D$ .

Thus an estimate on the grows of coefficients of F(X) is known then one can bound M and them apply our results to zero testing of F. In particular, for exponential polynomials (2 such a bound of n (under some additional conditions) can be found in [13] (see also [10, 12]).

We also remark that it would be interesting to obtain an algorithm of zero testing of t-sparse polynomials.

Finally, the lower bound on  $M \ge ((n+1)^m)!$  in Theorem 3 can probably be weaken and could be make closer to the lower bound (7). In fact we conjecture that essentially smaller M can be dealt with if one considers polynomials which are either identical to zero or take at least one value relatively prime to M.

# References

- M. Ben-Or and M. Tiwari, 'A deterministic algorithm for sparse multivariate polynomial interpolation', Proc. 20th ACM Symp. on Theor. Comp. Sci., 1988, 301–309.
- [2] M. Clausen, A. Dress, J. Grabmeier and M. Karpinski, 'On zero testing and interpolation of k-sparse multivariate polynomials over finite field', *Theor. Comp. Sci.*, 84 (1991), 151–164.
- [3] D. Grigoriev, 'Testing shift-equivalence of polynomials by deterministic, probabilistic and quantum machines', *Theor. Comp. Sci.*, 180 (1997), 217-228.

- [4] D. Grigoriev and M. Karpinski, 'Algorithms for sparse rational interpolation', Proc. Intern. Symp. on Symbolic and Algebraic Comp., 1991, 7-13.
- [5] D. Grigoriev and M. Karpinski, 'A zero-test and an interpolation algorithm for the shifted sparse polynomials', *Lect. Notes in Comp. Sci.*, 673 (1993), 162–169.
- [6] D. Grigoriev, M. Karpinski and M. Singer, 'Fast parallel algorithm for sparse multivariate polynomials over finite fields', SIAM J. Comput., 19(1990), 1059–1063.
- [7] D. Grigoriev, M. Karpinski and M. Singer, 'Computational complexity of sparse rational interpolation', SIAM J. Comput., 23 (1994), 1–11.
- [8] M. Karpinski and I. E. Shparlinski, 'On some approximation problems concerning sparse polynomials over finite fields', *Theor. Comp. Sci.*, 157(1996), 259–266.
- [9] N. Koblitz, *p*-adic numbers, *p*-adic analysis, and zeta-functions, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977.
- [10] A. J. van der Poorten, 'Zeros of p-adic exponential polynomials', Indag. Math., 38 (1976), 46–49.
- [11] A. J. van der Poorten, 'Hermite interpolation and p-adic exponential polynomials, J. Austral. Math. Soc., 23 (1976), 12–26.
- [12] A. J. van der Poorten and R. Rumely, 'Zeros of p-adic exponential polynomials, 2', J. Lond. Math. Soc., 36 (1987), 1–15.
- [13] A. J. van der Poorten and I. E. Shparlinski, 'On the number of zeros of exponential polynomials and related questions' Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 46 (1992), 401–412.
- [14] R. M. Roth and G. M. Benedek, 'Interpolation and approximation of sparse multivariate polynomials over GF(2)', SIAM J. Comp. 20 (1991), 291–314.
- [15] I. E. Shparlinski, Finite fields: Theory and Computation, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1997.

- [16] K. Werther, 'The complexity of sparse polynomials interpolation over finite fields', Appl. Algebra in Engin., Commun. and Comp., 5(1994), 91-103.
- [17] R. Zippel, Effective polynomial computation, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1993.
- [18] R. Zippel, 'Zero testing of algebraic functions', Inform. Proc. Letters, 61 (1997) 63-67.